Note: This document has been translated from the Japanese original for reference purposes only. In the event of any discrepancy between this translated document and the Japanese original, the original shall prevail. The Company assumes no responsibility for this translation or for direct, indirect or any other forms of damages arising from the translation.

May 28, 2025

To all parties concerned

Company: Fujitec Co., Ltd.

Stock Code: 6406

Representative: Masayoshi Harada

President and CEO

Contact: Hiroyuki Yamamoto

Operating Officer,

General Manager of Finance HQ (TEL+81-72-622-8151)

# Notice of the Judgment (in Favor of the Company) in the Lawsuit to Request Revocation of the Resolutions of the General Meeting of Shareholders

As disclosed in the "Notice of Filing of a Lawsuit against the Company" dated October 30, 2023 and in "(Addition) Partial Addition of 'Notice of Filing of a Lawsuit against the Company" dated October 31, 2023, a lawsuit against Fujitec Co., Ltd. (the "Company") was filed with the Otsu District Court by Uchiyama International Co., Ltd. ("UI"), the Company's shareholder, requesting revocation of each of the following resolutions (the "Resolutions") at the 76th Annual General Meeting of Shareholders of the Company (the "General Meeting of Shareholders") held on June 21, 2023 (the "Lawsuit"). In this regard, please be informed that on May 27, 2025, the Otsu District Court pronounced a judgment to dismiss part of the petition of UI without prejudice and dismiss each of the remaining claims with prejudice on the merits as described below.

## 1. The Cause of the Lawsuit and the Background of the Judgment

Regarding the General Meeting of Shareholders, the Company treated the exercise of voting rights by a part of the shareholders' proxies that were submitted to the Company by UI as invalid. UI filed a lawsuit requesting revocation of the Resolutions of the General Meeting of Shareholders based on Article 831, Paragraph 1, Item 1 of the Companies Act claiming such treatment constitutes a violation of laws regarding the method of resolution, while the Company argued that such treatment was lawful and appropriate.

- (1) Agenda Item 1: Disposal of surplus
- (2) Agenda Item 2: Election of nine (9) Directors
- (3) Agenda Item 3: Election of one (1) Member of the Audit & Supervisory Board
- (4) Agenda Item 4: Election of a Substitute Audit & Supervisory Board Member

### 2. Details of the Judgment

- (1) The part of the plaintiff's petition requesting revocation of the resolution described in Item 4\* in the attached List of Resolutions is dismissed without prejudice;
- (2) Each of the plaintiff's remaining claims is dismissed with prejudice on the merits; and
- (3) The court costs shall be borne by the plaintiff.
- \* Meaning the resolution of "Agenda Item 4: Election of a Substitute Audit & Supervisory Board Member" in the Resolutions.

### 3. Outline of the Lawsuit

(1) Court: The Otsu District Court

(2) Date of filing of the Lawsuit: September 19, 2023(3) Date of service of complaint: October 26, 2023

(4) Content of the Lawsuit: Request for revocation of the Resolutions of the General

Meeting of Shareholders

Claim for payment of the court costs by the Company

#### 4. Person who Filed the Lawsuit

Name: Uchiyama International Co., Ltd.
Address: 1-1-3-914, Umeda, Kita-ku, Osaka

(3) Name and Title of the Representative: Takakazu Uchiyama, Representative Director

## 5. Court that Pronounced the Judgment and the Date of Judgement

(1) Court: The Otsu District Court

(2) Date: May 27, 2025

## 6. Future Prospects

The Company believes that a fair and reasonable decision was issued by the Court with respect to the Lawsuit. In the future, the Company will continue to make efforts to operate general meetings of shareholders in a lawful and appropriate manner.

The Company's business results will not be affected by the judgment of the Lawsuit.

The Company will promptly announce any development that needs to be disclosed, such as the filing of an appeal by the plaintiff. For clarity, the judgment will become final and binding unless the plaintiff files an appeal within two weeks following the service of the judgment to the plaintiff.